UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,191	10/03/2003	Randall T. Moon	UWOTL121818	1034	
26389 7590 09/18/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH A		SULLIVAN, DANIEL M			
	SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/679,191	MOON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	

	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  IOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul>		I E below);					
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	• • •	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<u>:</u> .	•					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>11-14</u> would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-14 and 30-33</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-14 and 50-55</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-29</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	<del>-</del>						
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
		/Daniel M Sullivan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1636					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment includes the new limitation of the reporter nucleic acid to comprising a DNA segment which is at least 98% identical and having the same reporter function as nucleotides 3005-4336 of SEQ ID NO: 1 contiguous to nucleotides 1-243 of SEQ ID NO: 1. As this limitation has not been considered in prior prosecution, entry after final would raise new issues requiring further consideration. Furthermore, claim 11, as amended, appears to be allowable. Therefore, entry of the amendment would necessitate rejoinder of process claims depending from claim 11 and new consideration of the rejoined claims for compliance under 35 USC § 112. In that regard, a new search and consideration would be required in order to determine whether the application has enabled methods of identifying pharmaceutical compounds as recited in, e.g., claim 22...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are directed to the claims as amended in the submission filed after final and, as the amendment has not been entered, are moot with respect to the pending claims. It is noted however that the written description rejection would still apply to claims reciting 98% identity to the explicitly disclosed nucleic acid sequences..